Previous references.

1907-8

(Sepi Revs)

File No. 53-02

Serial Nos. 1–4

Later references.

Revs 12 SG 1-2386-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1–4

Part B. for may 08

SUBJECT.

Inamdars claim to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Bhokkanahalli Doddballapur Tq

1) From the Excise Commr No. 5496/352 dt 10.3.03 submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Bhokkanahalli Jodi village, Doddaballapur Tq

2) To the Excise Commr — No. 158 dt 20.8.03 points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case & calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith

3) From the Excise Commr — No. 8539 dt 15.4.08 resubmits the records & states that under the circumstances represented, the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue

G. R. 39. 58

(i) To the Excise Commissioner
and Comptroller

No. Fe. 5761 – 29 6.5.08

disallows the Edamalai's claim to
the toddy excise revenue of the village.

G.R.S. 11] 1907-08.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Sep Rev)

NOTES.

SR File. No. 55-02

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Doddballapur Tq

From

The Excise Comr

No. 5496/354 Dated 10/3/03 Received 11.3.03.

Diary No. 366.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Chokkanahalli Jodi village, Doddballapur Tq

~~NOTES AND ORDERS~~.

2. To the Excise Comr No. 158 Ex 1607 & 26/8/03
Points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case and calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 55 of 1902-03.

Serial No.	
3.	From the Excise Comr. No 8839 & 15.4.08. Submits the records relating to the Inamdars claim and ~~states~~ states that under the circumstances represented, the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village. The Inamdars have not substantiated their original sanad with a view to disallowing the claim The 2 Sultan Chitnavis to have over Copies & not the originals [It is not clear whether the originals were executed on Feddan Stamps] Rules 82 17 do not seem to apply to the Case. The trees of this village do not seem to have been sold during the period for which the Inamdar was in force as an independent Sovereign. The accounts for

No 5496/354 Cue 1 file

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 9th March 1903

From

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

A. V. Narjundayya Esquire M.A. B.L.

Secty. to the Govt of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore

Gen and Rev Depts.

Sir

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Chikkanahalli Jodi village, Toobgere Hobli, Doddaballapur taluk I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officers' findings that the Jodidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy, as it is not conceded by Sanad or by Inam Settlement. The Gutta Chits produced by them simply show that the Date trees of their village were leased to Government Toddy Contractors. Therefore only 1/5 of the rent of the "Grove Farm" of their village is payable to them under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No 204-83, R.T. 166-92, Dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

P N Purnaiya

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

4366

OFFICE OF T...
Regd 10011
11 MAR 1903

1903

FROM M. Narayana Esq BA
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To M. V. Ranjundayya Esq Nid :
Secty. to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen and Rev Depts.

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } March. 1903
Received

General No. 5496
No. 354

Enclosure No. 1 file

SR 55-02-1

Submits his opinion
the record of enquiry relating
to the Toddy revenue of
Chahanahalli Kadi in the
Toobgere Hobli Doddaballapur
-taluk

4 encs
11-3-03

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sh 55-028(2)

Read:--

The marginally noted letters from the Excise Commissio

er in Mysore submitting the records of enquiring into the claims

to excise revenue of the Inams noted in the Margin.

Letter No.5662/364 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy Revenue of Giddanhalli village, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.6905/469 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue of Savipalya village, Nelamangala Taluk.

Letter No.4968/336 -do- -do- Aradesahalli, Devanhalli taluk.

Letter No.4968/339 -do- -do- Golhalli, Devanhalli Taluk.

Letter No.5001/339 -do- -do- Arasannahalli, Reddannahalli, -do- Taluk.

Letter No.5451/359 -do- -do- Mahanatalingapura, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.5499/357 -do- -do- Itagalpura, Doddaballapur Taluk.

Letter No.5496/354 -do- -do- Chakanahalli, -do- -do-

Letter No.5663/365 -do- -do- Ramasandara, Aekal Taluk.

Order thereon No.158/Ex.F.16-01.

Dated, Bangalore, April 1908. 20/8/03

In every case read above the investigating officer, Mr E.J. Kumarasami Naik, B.A., records the findings that the claimants are entitled to $\frac{1}{5}$ of tree-rent up to 98-99 and they are entitled to full tree rent for the succeeding years.

2. Government regret to observe that the decision is incorrect and the enquiry is incomplete. The first portion of the decision being under rule 4 of Government Proceeding No.1074-03/R.F.166-92-1, dated the 2nd August 1901, of drove Firm rent towards tree rent up to 96-97 alone ought to have been awarded.

3. The needful information for the second finding of the investigating officer which falls under rules 8 and 17 of the Proceeding quoted above, was not before him; and hence it is a decision based on no evidence.

4. The Excise Commissioner states he concurs with the investigating officer; but awards 1/5 of Corve Farm rent only under rule 6 without noticing the other finding of the latter.

5. The decision and the enquiry being ~~the~~ defective, Government direct that the records of enquiry be remitted to the investigating officer for completing the enquiry and for recording a correct and full decision.

Sd/- H. V. Nanjundayya

~~For~~ Secretary to Government.

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

D. 3966

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

No. 8839

Dated Bangalore, 15th April 1908.

SR 55-02-3,.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the records of ---- enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Chokkanahalli village in the Dodballapur Taluk togeth with a copy of the Inam register of enquiry of the village and to state that I concur in the opinions recorded both by the investigating Officer and the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not en -titled to the toddy Excise Revenue of the village.

2. The village was granted to one Venkata Jois by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur under a sannad dated 3rd. October 1812. No mention is made of toddy revenue in the sannad nor is there anything else to infer that the right was conce At the Inam settlement which was conducted in the year 1879 the Inam Assistant Mr. Syed Nussoruddin has recorded that Sayer and Abkari are State items and the Jodidars have no claims thereto and that their sannad does not confer any such concession on them. The Inam Superintendent has reserved to Government all rights to Sayer and Abkari.

3. The Inamdar has produced in proof of his enjoyment two gutta chits, one dated 9-10-1872 and the other dated 6-11-1874. These are agreements to pay at a certain rate rent on trees tapped by the --- contractors and do not relate to any toddy Excise Revenue.

4. There

4. There is no toddy shop in the village but there is a Date grov The sannad alienating the village and the Inam settlement held in 1879 have reserved the Abkari Revenue to Government. Nor is the claim to the toddy Excise Revenue supported by enjoyment. The Inamdar is ther -fore entitled only to tree-rent from the contractors whenever trees are tapped in his village. Payment will be regulated by rules 4,8 and 16 contained in Government Order No. 2074-83-R.A. 166-92, dated 2nd. August 1901.

5. On receipt of the orders of Government on the claim the amount payable to the Inamdar will be checked and verified and a refund bill submitted for sanction. As regards the delay in the submission of the records and the difficulty of ascertaining the exact amount payable to the Inamdar reference is kindly invited to the 3 copies of the marginally noted correspondence submitted herewith.

1. Letter No: A203 dated 27th December 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
2. Letter No: A.11.46 dated 23rd April 1907, from the Senior Asst: Comr, Doddaballapur Division to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
3. Docket No: 44 dated 16th September 1905 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

for Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

BJR

A203

Bangalore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Dated. 26/27th December 1907.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 98, dated 19/21st September 1905, returning certain files of records relating to the enquiry into the toddy claims, I have the honor to retransmit herewith the files of the marginally noted villages received with the Dodballapur Sub Division, Assistant Commissioner's letter No. 1146, dated 23rd April 1907 (copy of which is enclosed) and to state as follows:-

1. Ganpatiya. Nelamangala Taluk
2. Shikaramahalli } Dodballapur Taluk
3. Vijayapura
4. Ardesahalli
5. Arsanahalli } Devanhalli Taluk
6. Gollahalli } Sallahalli

The amounts due to the Inamdars of the several villages quoted above under Rule 4 of Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F.166-9, dated 2nd August 1907, are recorded in the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumaraswami Naick.

2. It would appear from the records that these Inamdars have been allowed to lease out the toddy trees themselves subsequent to 1896-97, and as such they are not entitled to get anything on account of rent during the year 1897-98 in which preliminary tree tax system was introduced and the subsequent years in which the tree tax system was brought into force.

3. The groves of the Inam villages of Ardesahalli, Arsanahalli, Peddanahalli and Gollahalli in the Devanhalli

Taluk

Taluk and Gavepalya in the Nelamangala Taluk were not sold independently but sold clubbed together with other villages from 1892-93 to 1894-95. Thus the amounts awarded for these years in the calenders of these villages appear to have been arrived at by the ~~appointment~~ apportionment of the grove farms rent among the villages whose groves were sold together. The other files of records relating to the villages of giddenahalli, Mantalingapura and Komasundra referred to in the letter under reference will be submitted soon after their receipt from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub Division.

I have the honor to be etc.,

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

From

K. R. Sreenevasiengar Esquire,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,

Doddaballapur.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated 18th April 1907.

No. 1146.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 127, dated 19th October 1905, forwarding for further enquiry the papers relating to the claims of today revenue of the Inamdars of the marginally noted villages, I have the honor to report as follows:-

1 Ganpalya Nelamangala Tk
2. Chikkanahalli
3. Alagalpura } Doddaballapur Tk
4. Ardesahalli
5 Asvenahalli
Peddanahalli
6. Gollahalli Devanhalli Taluk

From the enquiry held by my predecessors, it is clear that the Inamdars of none of the 6 villages in question are ~~liable~~ entitled to the today revenue of these villages. The only question for determination is the amount of refund to which these Inamdars may be entitled under Rules 4, 8 and 17 of the Rules published in Government Order No. 2074-83/R.P. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

2. As regards the claims of the Inamdars for 1/5 of the grove farm rent under rule 4, the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumerswami Naick give the grove farm rents in the villages in question realized during the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 and the 1/5 portion thereof refundable to the Inamdars. I am unable to make out where from the figures given in the calendars for the grove farm rentals during the

above

above five years were obtained. I see that these figures differ slightly from those furnished to me by the Amildars to whom I made a reference in the matter. I may also add that I have not been able to obtain from the District office full information regarding the grove farm rentals realized during the five years in question.

3. As regards the claims under Rules 8 and 17 notices were issued to the Inamdars and such of them as appeared were heard in support of their claims; none of them could give definite and accurate information which would entitle them to any refund of tree rent. It is ascertained from Deputy Commissioner's yadi No. 158, dated 9th November 1906, and also from the reports of the Amildars that from the years 1897-98 the date trees in the Inam villages in question were leased out by the Inamdars themselves and that Government did not place any restriction on their so leasing out the trees or recover any tree rent from trees leased out in these villages Hence no refund is due to the Inamdars of these villages towards tree rent under Rules 16 and 17 either for the year 1897-98 when the preliminary tree tax system was brought into force or during the subsequent years when the tree tax system was fully into operation.

4. I desire to invite your attention to the statement submitted by the Amildar of Devanhalli therefrom it is seen that a sum of Rs. 350-3-8 has already been advanced to the Inamdars of Gollahalli though the amount refundable to him under rule 4 amounts to only Rs. 98-6-5.

5. The records received with the memo under report as do the records of further enquiries held in this office herewith submitted as per/erist.

I have the honor to be etc.
(Sd.) Thumboo Chetty,
for Senior Assistant Commissioner,
Dodballapur Division.

" C O P Y."

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

1905-06

From
D. Dwaraj Urs Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
P. V. Punanya Esqr. B.A.,
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated
Despatched 6th September 1905
Received
General No. 464
No.

Enclosure No. Record

Ski docket No. 32, dated 22nd August 1905, returning the file of papers relating to the claims to Toddy revenue of Jodi Chakkanahalli village in Doddaballapur taluk for retransmission with the Deputy Commissioner's opinion on the findings of the investigating Officer

---

In retransmitting the enclosures of the above has the honour to state that the undersigned

undersigned agrees with the report of the
investigating Officer

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner

Ajay

Memo
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

12

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 55-02

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 3966

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

READ— Letter no 8839 dt 15/4/08 from the Excise Comr in Mysore, submitting the record & proceedings relating to the Claims to the toddy & arrack renewals for Chokkanahalli Sub-Sore Hobli, Doddballapur Taluk, Bangalore Dist

the 190

Date of issue 6th May 08

Signed by V. Venkata Rao

ORDER THEREON.—No.

No H 5751-2 & Addl memo no dt 6-5-08 The Board pending

over

SUBJECT

the village has not
alienated the lands,
except perhaps the
inamdars & the private
settlement created
in 1879 has reverted
to Govt. The Inam-
dars have not otherwise
established their claim
~~right~~ Consequently
therefore with the
Dy Comr. & the Collr
Come Govt. Consider
that the inamdars
are entitled to only
1/5 of the Govt share
(rent-for the years
1892-93 to 1895-96
under rule 4 of
GR No 2074-83/Rt.166-92
dt 2/8/01

2. The Dy Comr may be
requested to see if
the originals of the two
Sattva deeds referred

The may be
done.

3966

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Now Can be written the originals are actually before him.

The Stamp, law words become an engine of oppression if worked on the assumption that documents might not have been stamped

Private

Whereas etc.
Please relase the file the Collector

Sec 9.4.08

Inward 215

By the Receipt Comptr
have been executed
on Wednesday & Receipts were
if not to deal
with these vouchers
the Stamp Office

by
Mr H Steel

To the Receipt Comptr for stamp record

The Comptroller

Sec
29.4.08

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 6th May 1908.

READ—

Letter No. 8839, dated the 15th April 1908, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Tubgere hobli, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

No. FL. 5751-2—S. R. 55-02-4, DATED BANGALORE, 6TH MAY 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—The sannad granting the village has not alienated the toddy excise revenue to the Inamdars and the Inam Settlement conducted in 1879 has reserved it to Government. The Inamdars have not otherwise substantiated their claim. Concurring therefore with the Deputy Commissioner and the Excise Commissioner, Government consider that the Inamdars are entitled to only one-fifth of the grove farm rent for the years 1892-93 to 1896-97, under rule 4, in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd. –C. S.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 6th May 1908

No. Fl. 5751-2
S. R. 55-02-4

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdars to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Tubgere hobli Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

GPB—29-5-08—200